STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1549

2

1

3

4

5 6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

including April 10, 2024. This is the fourth stipulation for an extension of time to file MGM's responsive pleading. The court previously granted an extension on January 9, 2024. ECF No. 28.

Good cause exists to enlarge the time for MGM to respond to the Complaint. There are currently thirteen other related actions filed against MGM pending in this District (the "Related Actions"). See Kirwan v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01481; Owens v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01480; Pircio v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01550; Zussman v. Vici Properties Inc., et al., No. 2:23-cv-01537; Terezo v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23cv-01577; Rundell v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01698; Bezak v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01719; Albrigo v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-1981; Zari v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01777; Manson v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01826; Sloan v. Vici Properties Inc., et al., No. 2:23-cv-02042; Mejia v. MGM Resorts, Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-00081; Righetti v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-2064.

On December 6 and December 20, 2023, plaintiffs' counsel in six consolidated putative class actions brought against MGM by individuals who allege their PII was compromised as a result of a cybersecurity incident involving MGM in 2019 (the "2019 Actions") filed Notices of Related Cases Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 42.1, notifying the Court that the Related Actions are related to the 2019 Actions. In re: MGM Resorts Int'l Data Breach Litig., No. 2:20-CV-00376-GMN-NJK, ECF Nos. 186, 188. Plaintiffs in this action have opposed this effort because the 2019 Actions involved a different threat actor and different data. No order has issued on the notices filed by the plaintiffs in the 2019 Actions, and MGM has not responded to any other Complaint in the Related Actions.

The parties in the Related Actions are discussing this development in addition to the consolidation of the Related Actions. The parties in the Related Actions have also engaged in preliminary information exchange that may avoid the need for certain motion practice, which would conserve judicial resources. As such, additional time is required to permit time to meet and confer with the various parties to the Related Actions.

1	The Parties' request is made in good faith to enable the parties to finalize the join		
2	motion for consolidation and conserve judicial and party resources. Moreover, this case is		
3	in its infancy, and this request will not prejudice any party.		
4	WHEREAS the Parties respectfully request that MGM shall have until April 10		
5	2024, to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.		
6	6 Dated: March 8, 2024 Respectfully submit	itted,	
7		<u> </u>	
8	Nathan R. Ring NV State Bar No. 1	12078	
9	9 STRANCH, JENN PLLC	NINGS & GARVEY,	
10	10 3100 W. Charlesto	n Boulevard, Suite 208	
11	Las Vegas, NV 892 Telephone: (725) 2	35-9750	
12	12 <u>lasvegas@stranchl</u>	aw.com	
13	James J. Pizzirusso HAUSFELD LLP		
14	888 16th Street Ste Washington, DC 20	2. 300	
15	Telephone: 202.54 jpizzirusso@hausfe	0.7200	
16	16	tiff and the Proposed	
17	Class	ng and me i roposed	
18	18 /s/ Todd L. Bice Todd L. Bice		
19	PISANELLI BICI 400 S. 7th Street S		
20	Las Vegas, NV 89 Telephone: 702.21	101	
21	tlh@nisonallibioa		
22	Angela C. Agrusa	(IIC)	
23	DLA PIPER LLP 2000 Avenue of the	e Stars	
24	Suite 400 North To Los Angeles, CA 9	0067-4735	
25	Telephone: 310.59. Angela.agrusa@us		
	Attorneys for Defen		
27	MGM Resorts Inter	панопаі	
28			
-	II		

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
3 4 5 6 7 8	DAVID LACKEY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, Defendant.	Case No. 2:23-cv-1549-RFB-NJK [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT	
9			
10	Upon consideration of the foregoing stipulation to extend Defendant MGM Resort		
11	International's time to file response to Plaintiff's Complaint in the above-captioned action		
12	it is hereby ORDERED that the Stipulation is GRANTED , and the time for MGM Resort		
13	International to answer, move, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint is hereby		
14	extended to and including April 10, 2024.		
15			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
17	Nanay	Conno	
18	Nancy J. i United Sta	ites Magistrate Judge	
19	DATED:	March 11, 2024	
20	DATED	William 11, 2021	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			